Rough-Sea-Horizon-Line Detection using a Novel Color Clustering and Least Squares Regression Method
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Abstract: Sea horizon line (SHL) detection is the first step in maritime image processing. It is aimed at object detection, navigation of autonomous aerial and sea surface vehicles, and distance estimation. Many methods have been proposed to detect SHL, however, their focus remains on SHL detection in calm sea conditions. For this reason, this study is designed to fill this gap and investigate an efficient method to detect a sea horizon line under rough sea conditions. A novel color clustering and least-squares regression-based method is proposed to solve the issue. Minimizing computational cost, our method identifies the candidate region of interest (CROI) from grayscale image ROIs by analyzing the modality of its pixel intensity histogram. It then applies $k$-mean clustering to highlight potential sea-sky regions. The regional boundary pixel coordinates are used to construct a horizon line by applying the least-squares regression method. The results of the proposed method were compared to the Canny Edge and Hough Transform (CEHT) method. The gale state sea images were used to test the efficiency of both methods, correctly detecting the starting and ending coordinates of a horizon line and its slope. The results highlight the superiority of the proposed method over the CEHT method. On average, the proposed method identified the horizon line within one degree of error as opposed to CEHT method with the average of five degrees. In half of the images, the error in detecting the horizon starting and ending coordinates for the proposed method was within five or fewer pixels. The overall results show the superiority of the proposed method over the CEHT method in rough sea conditions. The novelty of this study is two-fold. Firstly, it is a pioneering study that proposes a novel method to detect SHL under rough sea conditions. Secondly, the proposed method yielded superior SHL detection results compared to its peers.
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1. Introduction

A sea horizon line (SHL) is a low-level geometric feature in a maritime image that bifurcates the sky and sea regions. By doing so, an area under investigation is isolated, which consequently reduces the computation cost associated with any further computer vision or machine learning task. For such reasons, horizon line detection is usually the first step before addressing issues such as object detection and tracking [1], navigation and collision avoidance of autonomous aerial vehicles [2], [3], steering sea surface vehicles [4], and distance estimation for ships [5].

Under ideal weather conditions, and in an uncluttered maritime scene, an SHL is easily identifiable as the longest and most prominent linear feature. However, in rough sea state scenarios, the presence of high amplitude waves, foam, and water spray may obscure the sea horizon line. In this case, SHL may not be the longest and prominent linear feature in the scene. Identification of SHL in such a situation becomes an interesting and challenging problem in the domain of computer vision. Many sea horizon line detection methods have been proposed in literature. The predominant methods can be divided into three major categories, i.e., projection-based, statistical-analysis-based, and hybrid methods.

The most commonly used method is projection-based. The method assumes that the horizon line is the most noticeable linear feature in a maritime image. Thus, it attempts to find the prominent linear features by applying edge detection and linear feature transform methods [6], [7]. Solutions developed using the projection-based method can be implemented either with 1D or 2D edge detection [4]. They can then apply suitable line detection algorithms such as the least-squares regression method, Hough Transform or Radon Transform, to finally identify the horizon line. However, the presence of other robust linear features induced by wake, water surface color, and floating vegetation may increase the possibility of identifying a false linear feature as a candidate horizon line. Additionally, in rough sea conditions, the horizon line may not be the most prominent linear feature; hence the method may fail in such situations. Moreover, line detection algorithms' high computational complexity is a bottleneck for projection-based methods [4].

As an alternative, statistical methods have been applied to detect terrestrial/sea horizon line. This approach assumes that the intensity distribution of the sea and sky region is statistically separable, and an intensity variation is present along the horizon. Based on RGB pixel grouping into the sky and sea region, a horizon line, in this case, will have a minimum variance from distinct means of sky and sea regions [6], [7]. However, in rough sea state conditions, as depicted in Fig. 1, grouping the sea or sky regions based on RGB values of pixels becomes challenging due to foam and water spray, which seamlessly blends in with the background.

Fig. 1 Rough sea condition (spray blends in with clouds)

The third most commonly used method is based on the hybrid configuration that couples two or more methods to enhance the proposed solution's generalization ability. For example, machine learning methods have been conjugated with edge detection and other techniques to identify the horizon line. An approach based on YCrCb color-based pixel-level binary classification using Support Vector Machine (SVM) and then applying the Hough Transform to the segmented
image to find the horizon line is one example of a hybrid horizon detection method [3]. A similar method applies SVM to classify potential horizon line edges obtained by the Canny Edge detection. It then applies dynamic programming to identify the horizon line [10]. An extension of this method is segmenting each pixel of an edge map into a horizon and non-horizon semantic category and then applying Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) to identify the edge pixels belonging to the potential sea horizon line [11]. However, these approaches’ dependency on the edge map makes them vulnerable to degraded performance under rough sea conditions, where linear features are hard to detect.

The rough sea conditions exhibit challenges such as smooth color intensity change across the sea-sky region, and occlusion of the horizon line by high amplitude waves, spray, and foam. Due to these conditions, robust linear features are mostly absent in the scene, affecting the performance of a projection-based horizon line detection method. Moreover, any hybrid method which is based on an edge map is also subject to degraded performance. Similarly, statistical analysis near the horizon may fail due to smooth color intensity change.

In this paper, we address the issue of detecting the horizon line under rough sea conditions. In such a scenario, we have assumed that the sea horizon line is generally not continuous, and as seen in Fig. 1, it may be obscured by waves, spray, and foam in some regions. Thus, finding the candidate region in which the horizon line is noticeable becomes an essential step in our methodology. Once that candidate region is identified, a partial or full reference of the horizon line can be detected. For this purpose, a binary color clustering technique can be applied. This will segregate the potential sea-sky regions and consequently provides boundary values of the regions. Theoretically, these values can construct a line equation, which subsequently can draw a candidate horizon line. For performance evaluation, our proposed method’s results are compared to the Canny Edge and Hough Transform (CEHT) based method. To the best of our knowledge, detecting the horizon line in rough sea conditions is yet to be addressed, and this study is the first step towards solving this issue.

The rest of the paper is divided into the following sections. Section 1 discusses our proposed methodology in detail. The data set, ground truth marking, and computational environment are discussed in section 2. Later, section 3 gives details on our proposed and CEHT based experiment and their parameters. Section 4 describes the method used for comparing the results of two experiments. In section 5, we have discussed the results, and subsequently, the conclusion and future directions are given in section 6. Finally, section 7 presents acknowledgment.

2. Research Methodology

Our proposed rough sea horizon line detection (RSHLD) methodology is presented in Fig. 2. It can be divided into four stages. The following subsection explains each stage in detail.

2.1. Horizon Line Detection in Rough Sea Conditions

2.1.1. Image Preprocessing

In the first stage, the input image is resized to 50% of its original size while maintaining the aspect ratio. In their literature review, Hashmani et al. [8] reported, from multiple studies, that identifying the sea horizon line in a
color image can increase the computational cost of the method; thus, the resized image is then converted into a grayscale image. This minimizes the computational cost while maintaining the quality of the image at almost at the same level as a color image [8].

2.1.2. Candidate Region of Interest Identification

The identification of a candidate region of interest (CROI) can significantly reduce the computational cost of the method as, now, the region under investigation is smaller than the original image. Thus, in the second stage, the resized grayscale image is horizontally divided into \( N \) regions of interest (ROIs). Here, the approach adopted by Jeong et al. [9] is considered and 50% overlapping ROIs are generated. The starting and ending pixels for ROIs are computed using equations (1) and (2).

\[
ROI_s(n) = \begin{cases} 
0, & n = 1 \\
ROI_e(n-1) - HS, & n > 1 
\end{cases} \\
ROI_e(n) = \begin{cases} 
ROI_s(n) + FP, & n > 1 \\
FS, & n = 1 
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( ROI_s(n) \) is the starting pixel and \( ROI_e(n) \) is the ending pixel of \( N^{th} \) region of interest. \( FS \) is the full step size, calculated by dividing the image height by 5 and \( HS \) is half step size, calculated by dividing \( FS \) by 2. Using this scheme, a total of nine ROIs can be generated for an image.

In rough sea conditions, the sky region generally exhibits a nearly uniform color distribution. Based on this observation, a CROI identification method is proposed. This method uses a gray image pixel intensity histogram to analyze the distribution of pixels in a given ROI. In the case of the presence of only the sky region, a unimodal histogram is generated. However, once the sea region is also present in the ROI, a bimodal distribution is presented in the histogram. This modality change can isolate the ROI in which both sky and sea regions are present. This isolated ROI is considered our CROI.

In the third stage, a binary \( k \)-means color clustering is applied to the CROI to highlight sky and sea regions. For this purpose, the maximum number of iterations is set to 100, and the desired accuracy is 0.2. The iteration is stopped when either of the criteria mentioned above is met. The initial cluster center is randomly picked. Since the CROI is a small portion of a resized grayscale image, the computational cost of the \( k \)-means clustering is minimal. The creation of two-color clusters provides the pixel level information for two distinct regions. The change of pixel color in the vertical direction indicates the boundary of the regions. Based on this information, all such boundary pixel coordinates are identified.

The sea horizon line in rough sea conditions generally does not exhibit linear characteristics. In the fourth and the final stage, the coordinates of boundary pixels are used to construct the candidate sea horizon line equation by applying the least-squares regression method. The steps to generate the equation are presented in equations (3-7).

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} \\
\bar{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n} \\
m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
b = \bar{y} - mx \\
y = mx + b
\]

Here \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{y} \) are the means of \( x \) and \( y \) coordinate values of boundary pixels, \( m \) is the slope, and \( b \) is the \( y \)-intercept. At this point, the candidate horizon line is generated by using equation (7) for solving it for the value of \( x \). For mapping the horizon line on the original image, its coordinates are re-calculated by upscaling it with the image resize factor. The methodology at this stage generates the original image with the candidate sea horizon line marked on it. The algorithm of our proposed methodology is presented below.

### Algorithm 1: Rough Sea Horizon Line Detection

| Input | rough sea image, RS |
| Output | rough sea horizon line, RSHL |
| Step 1 | \( S_0 \) downsize RS to 50% |
| Step 2 | \( GS_0 \) convert \( S_0 \) to grayscale |
| Step 3 | \( \Sigma ROI \) generate a region of interest for \( GS_0 \) |
| Step 4 | \( \text{CROI} \) identify a candidate region of interest from \( \Sigma ROI \) |
| Step 5 | apply binary \( k \)-mean clustering on \( \text{CROI} \) |
| Step 6 | \( \Sigma P_b \) identify color cluster \( C_1 \), \( C_2 \) boundary pixels |
| Step 7 | \( \text{HL}_{\text{ROI}} \) apply the least-squares regression method to generate a line equation using \( \Sigma P_b \) |
| Step 8 | \( \text{HL} \) solve \( \text{HL}_{\text{ROI}} \) for \( x \) coordinate values of \( S_0 \) |
| Step 9 | \( \text{RSHL} \) upsize the \( \text{HL} \) by 50% |
| Step 10 | generate rough sea horizon line \( \text{RSHL} \) image |

2.2. Experimental Setup

As discussed earlier, the proposed method aims to detect the horizon line in rough sea conditions. Thus, we have considered a Beaufort wind scale level 8 sea conditions for this problem. The Beaufort wind scale is an empirical scale introduced by Admiral Beaufort to report the sea conditions corresponding to wind speed. It has been recommended for international use since 1874 [10].

2.2.1. Data Set

Since none of the available maritime image data sets cover rough sea state conditions [8], we have selected a
public video source for creation of the required data set [11]. The video consists of 3,670 frames at a resolution of 1280 x 720 pixels. It was recorded while transiting from Seattle to San Diego, USA, from the main deck of the starboard side of a scientific research vessel. During the transit, a Beaufort 10 scale sea state was reported. However, by comparing a sample frame from the video with a standard reference [12], the actual Beaufort state was found to be closer to state 8. At this state, the wind speed was between 32 to 37 kn per hour and the Beaufort scale description of this state is "gale" [10]. For the interest of the reader, the comparative images are presented in Fig. 3.

From the source video, 3 frames per second were extracted and saved in portable network graphics (PNG) format. The resolution of the frames was 1280 x 720 pixels with a 24-bit depth. The frames were named in a sequence starting from 0 with a step size of 10 (i.e., frame 0, frame 10, frame 20). In total, 367 frames were extracted. These frames cover different situational categories including a visible horizon line, partially occluded horizon due to high-amplitude waves, water spray, foam, irregular horizon line, partial and full blur images, thus making it a challenging data set. Some sample images from the generated data set are presented in Fig. 4.

Fig. 3 Manual sea state identification using standard reference images

(a) Actual video frame

(b) Beaufort scale 7 sea state reference

(c) Beaufort scale 8 sea state reference

(a) Visible horizon

(b) Partially visible horizon

(c) Water spray

(d) Foam
2.3. Ground Truth Marking

Ground truth marking is essential for evaluating the performance of a method. For this reason, the presence of the horizon line in all 367 images was manually observed. It was found that in 8 images, the horizon line was not visible due to dominating water spray. Thus 359 images were finally selected and manually marked by identifying the starting and ending points of the visible horizon line. In all images, the x-axis coordinates were 0 and 1279; however, the values for y-axis coordinates changed from frame to frame. The ground truth horizon lines (GTHLs) were then drawn using those points. In the later stage, for all GTHLs, slope angles ($\theta_{angle}$) were calculated in degrees. These values were later used to gauge the efficiency of the results generated by our experiment. Table 1 presents the generated data set statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Data set statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(a) Frame Statistics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Frames</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bit Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(b) Ground Truth Statistics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starting Pixel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(x_0, y_0)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation of y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4. Computational Environment

Python has been widely used in image processing applications. Its ease of use makes it an ideal choice for the implementation of our proposed methodology. For image processing, the OpenCV library was used. The code was written on Jupyter Notebook and executed on an Intel i5-4200U processor running a 64-bit operating system.

3. Experiment

For the comparative performance analysis, the experiment is divided into two parts. The first part is based on our proposed methodology, and the second part is conducted using the CEHT based horizon line detection method. All 359 images were resized to 50% of their original dimensions for both experiments while maintaining their aspect ratio. Since from this point onwards, the experiments followed two different flows. Thus, they are separately discussed in the following two sub-sections.

3.1 Proposed Rough Sea Horizon Line Detection (RSHLD) Algorithm based Experiment

The resized images are converted into grayscale, and for every image, the coordinates of ROIs are identified using equations (1) and (2). The results of ROI generation are presented in Fig. 5.

![Resized gray scale image](image)

(a) Resized gray scale image

(b) ROI 1

(c) ROI 2

(d) ROI 3

(e) ROI 4
Once the ROIs are generated, the proposed histogram modality analysis is performed, and a candidate ROI is identified. The results of CROI identification are presented in Fig. 6.

A two centers $k$-means clustering is then applied to CROI to group the pixels which belong to sea and sky regions. Later, the identified color clusters' values are used to identify the boundary between the two clusters. For this purpose, a pixel-by-pixel vertical scan is performed across the x-axis. The coordinates of change in a pixel's color value are marked as boundary values. These boundary values are used to generate the horizon line equation using the least-squares regression method. Once the horizon line equation is formed, a horizon line is constructed on the original image by solving it for the value of $x$ and resizing it as per the original image's dimensions. Fig. 7 exhibits the outcomes of each step discussed above.

### Figure 6. Histogram modality analysis for candidate region of interest identification

- (a) Candidate region of interest
- (b) Binary color clustering
- (c) Boundary pixels identification (white)
- (d) Detected horizon line (green)

### Figure 7. Rough sea horizon line detection using the proposed RSHLD method

- (a) Candidate region of interest
- (b) ROI 1 pixel intensity histogram
- (c) ROI 1 pixel intensity histogram
- (d) Identified candidate region of interest
- (e) ROI 2 pixel intensity histogram
- (f) ROI 3 pixel intensity histogram

#### 3.2 Canny Edge and Hough Transform (CEHT) based Horizon Line Detection Experiment

Canny Edge and Hough Transform is a well-known method for horizon line detection [9],[13],[14]. For this
reason, it is chosen for the performance analysis of the proposed method. To implement this method, a median filter of size 5x5 is applied to the resized image. This filter effectively removes the noise from the image while preserving the edge information [15]. The resultant image is then converted into a grayscale image, and ROIs are generated using equations (1) and (2). The proposed histogram modality analysis is then applied to identify CROI. The Canny Edge operator is then applied to CROI. The lower and upper thresholds for the Canny Edge operator are calculated using proposed equations (8-10).

\begin{align*}
PA_{img} &= \frac{\mu(P_{img})}{\mu(P_{img}) + \sigma(P_{img})} \\
LT &= \max(0, (1 - C) \cdot PA_{img}) \\
UT &= \min(255, (1 + C) \cdot PA_{img})
\end{align*}

Here, \(PA_{img}\) is the average of median and mean values of grayscale image pixels \(P_{img}\), \(C\) is a control variable from 0 to 1, \(LT\) is the lower, and \(UT\) is the upper threshold for the Canny edge operator. Once the edges are detected, probabilistic Hough Transform is applied to the edge map, and the longest line found is selected as the candidate horizon line.

Since the Hough Transform returns the line's starting and ending points, a line equation is derived from this information. This line equation is finally used to draw the horizon line on the original image by adjusting it as per new coordinates. The outcomes of this experiment are presented in Fig. 8.

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(a)] Candidate region of interest
  \item[(b)] Canny edge detection
  \item[(c)] Hough transform line (white)
  \item[(d)] Detected horizon line (blue)
\end{itemize}

Fig. 8. Rough sea horizon line detection using CEHT method

### 3.3. Comparison Method

The results of two experiments are compared using ground truth horizon line (GTHL) values described in Table 1. Here, two parameters are considered for comparison. The first parameter (i.e., horizon starting and ending coordinates) is used to compare the RSHLD and CEHT method's pixel-level accuracy. The second parameter (i.e., horizon line slope) is used to compare the accuracy of finding the horizon line's correct angle by both methods.

### 4. Results and Discussion

Two experiments for rough sea horizon line detection are designed, performed, and their results are generated. In this section, we discuss those results in detail.

The method of finding a candidate region of interest requires that an image is first divided into \(N\) equal size of regions of interest. We find that generating a 50% overlapping ROI helps minimize the occurrence of the sea-sky region at the very bottom or top section of an ROI and helps capture different sea-sky regions. Fig. 5 (d) is one such example. This overlapping technique is found to be effectively capturing the significant presence of both regions in 89% of cases. However, there are few examples, such as Fig. 9, in which a small region of the sea is visible at the boundary of ROI. To some extent, we find that few such ROIs affected the performance of significant CROI identification in a later stage.

As mentioned in Table 2, the proposed CROI identification method (as depicted in Fig. 6) shows promising results, and out of 359 frames, CROI was identified in 345 frames. A visual analysis of these CROIs is conducted, and it is found that out of 345 CROIs, 337 CROIs successfully captured significant sea-sky regions. This shows an overall efficiency of 94% in detecting correct CROIs. It is found that ROI in which a significant sea-sky region is not present, the dominant cloud region caused a bimodal nature of its pixel intensity histogram; thus, the method identified the wrong CROI. One such example is presented in Fig. 10.
Table 2: CROI and $k$-mean color clustering results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Frames</th>
<th>Selected Frames</th>
<th>CROI Identified</th>
<th>Correct CROI Identified</th>
<th>Binary Color Clusters Identified from CROI</th>
<th>Correct Binary Color Clusters Identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, it is recommended that the histogram of the current plus 1 ROI may also be examined to select the correct CROI.

The binary $k$-mean clustering is used to assign the pixels to two groups, i.e., sea and sky. Since it is applied to CROI, a small portion of a downsized image, computation cost remains low. As presented in Table 2, all 345 CROIs were processed, and it is found that 86% of identified clusters correctly bifurcated the two regions. The presence of a small sea-region and blur caused by the water spray contributed to the regions' incorrect color clustering.

The coordinates of boundary pixels of two regions are used to construct the candidate horizon line equation by applying the least-squares regression method. As described in Table 3, our proposed method is able to identify lines in 335 frames. However, in 87% of the frames (i.e., 312 frames), the line had all or some GTHL properties. It is found that wrong color clustering was a major factor in the misidentification of the horizon line in the remaining 13% of the frames (i.e., 23 frames). Despite this issue, overall, the mean difference between GTHL’s slope angle and the proposed RSHLD horizon lines’ slope angle is as low as 1 degree with a standard deviation of 1.3 degrees, which is a satisfactory result given that the CROI and color clustering performance is affected by the complexity of rough sea conditions. The mean error of detecting the correct starting and ending point of the horizon line is 14 and 20 pixels, respectively. It is also encouraging that the proposed method can detect the horizon line in most challenging rough sea conditions as well. Fig. 11 depicts some of these results.
The results of our proposed method are compared with the CEHT-based method. It is observed that in rough sea conditions, edge-based line detection is generally less effective in determining the sea horizon line. As presented in Table 3, out of 359 frames, the Canny operator is able to detect edges in 124 frames which is a 34.5% success rate. From these 124 frames, most horizon lines generated by the Hough Transform did not align with the GTHLs.

### Table 3 Performance statistics for RSHLD and CEHT based methods in rough sea conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Line Identified (Frames)</th>
<th>Mean $y_0$ Error (Pixels)</th>
<th>Mean $y_{n-1}$ Error (Pixels)</th>
<th>Mean Horizon Line Angle Error (Degree)</th>
<th>Horizon Line Angle Standard Deviation (Degree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSHLD Method</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEHT Method</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the mean slope angle of this method and GTHLs slope angles is 5.0 degrees with a standard deviation of 4.9 degrees. Similarly, the mean error of detecting the correct start and endpoint of the horizon line is 51 and 70 pixels, respectively. This shows the inefficiency of the Canny edge and Hough transform (CEHT) based method in detecting the true horizon line in the sea horizon line. This could be attributed to the absence of strong linear features in rough sea conditions. Fig. 12 represents the comparative results of our proposed and CEHT method.
Fig. 12 Horizon line detection results of RSHLD (a, b, c, d, e) and CEHT (f, g, h, i, j) methods under different rough sea conditions

5. Conclusions and Future Work
A novel rough-sea-horizon-line detection (RSHLD) method based on binary color clustering and least-squares regression method was proposed, and its results were compared to the CEHT-based horizon line detection method.
Image frames extracted from Beaufort State 8 videos were used to test the efficiency of both methods. A candidate region of the interest identification method based on the modality analysis of a pixel intensity histogram was also tested, identifying the correct candidate region with an accuracy of 94%.

It was found that Canny edge detection was not effective under rough sea conditions. Out of 359 images, it was able to detect edges in only 124 frames. Since Canny edge detection is a precursor to Hough transform line detection, the generated line results were also affected. Compared to ground truth values, the generated results had a mean slope angle error of 5 degrees with a standard deviation of 4.9 degrees. The Canny edge method suffers from a lack of strong linear features in rough sea conditions; hence, it detected the start and endpoints of the horizon line with a mean error of 50 and 70 pixels, respectively.

The proposed method produced better results in rough sea conditions and was able to detect a line in 335 frames. However, there were cases when the identified line was completely unrelated to the ground truth horizon line. This could be attributed to misidentified CROI and subsequent wrong color clusters. Nevertheless, the method generated promising results in complex and rough sea conditions. Overall, an average error of 1 degree with a standard deviation of 1.3 degrees was observed as compared to ground truth values. In half of the cases, the error in finding the correct start and endpoints of the horizon line was five or fewer pixels. In the case of an irregular horizon, partial occlusion, and blur, promising results were generated by the proposed method.

To the best of our knowledge, this is a pioneer study in detecting the horizon line in rough sea conditions, and it has produced promising results. The proposed method could be used for isolating a target region for maritime object detection or as a reference for navigational purposes in rough sea conditions.

Finally, it was observed that the misidentification of CROI and wrong color cluster identification played major roles in detecting a false horizon line. In the future, a more optimized method of selecting CROI could be further investigated. In addition to this, other clustering methods, such as density-based methods, may be further evaluated.
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